



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/911,916	07/24/2001	Fabio Casati	10008278-1	4217
7590 10/08/2004		EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			WOOD, WILLIAM H	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, Co	=		2124	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/911,916	CASATI ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	William H. Wood	2124						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence addre	ess					
* *	DIVIO OET TO EVOIDE	A MONTHYC) EDOM						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t.1.136(a). In no event, however, ma reply within the statutory minimum o iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) l tute. cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 July 2001.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drav	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-	-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	• •							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received i	in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have be	een received in this National St	age					
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	not received.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-19	52)					

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-35 are pending and have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 24 July 2004 was considered by the examiner.

Drawings

2. The drawings submitted 24 July 2001 are approved.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Application Number required in line 5 of page 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Casati et al., "eFlow: a Platform for Developing and Managing Composite e-Services".

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 1

Casati disclosed an electronic metaservice methodology comprising:

receiving a process definition (page 341, section 1);

transforming the process definition into a composite process specification

having a plurality of electronic services (page 345-347, section 5); and

registering the composite process specification with at least one electronic

service (page 347, left column, first paragraph).

Claim 2

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1, said transforming further

comprising:

determining which elements of the composite process constitute specific

electronic services and said designating said as linked service nodes of a

continuous flow defining said composite process (page 344-347, sections 4

and 5).

Claim 3

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 2 wherein said designating

comprises:

• configuring each of the said service nodes with service invocation setup

requirements for an associated one of said electronic services (page 344-345,

section 4).

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 4

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 3 wherein said configuring

further comprises:

associating each of said service nodes with a sequential set of method nodes,

Page 4

wherein each said set of method nodes includes invocations of inherent

operations associated with said associated one of said electronic services

(page 344-347, sections 4 and 5).

Claim 5

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 wherein said registering further

comprises:

establishing a repository of defined composite electronic services (page 341,

section 1; page 345-347, section 5; existing e-services).

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 5 wherein said transforming

further comprises:

using said defined composite electronic services in said repository for

configuring other composite electronic services (page 341, section 1; page

343, first paragraph under section 3).

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 7

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 wherein said receiving further comprises:

• receiving said process definition as a process flow language for composing eservices (page 344-345, section 4, note parameters and language examples; page 345-347, section 5).

Claim 8

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 further comprises:

providing ancillary functions selected from a group including: changing the
process, managing individual electronic service definitions, monitoring runtime executions, and obtaining analytical-statistical reports regarding said
process (page 341, Abstract and section 1; page 345, left column, first full
paragraph).

Claim 9

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 2 further comprises:

 allowing service providers to invoke said composite process as an individual electronic service via said existing electronic service (page 341, Abstract; page 344-347, sections 4 and 5). Art Unit: 2124

<u>Claim 10</u>

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 in an internet environment (page 341, Abstract and section 1).

<u>Claim 11</u>

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 wherein said at least one electronic service is an electronic services platform (page 341, Abstract and section 1).

Claim 12

Casati disclosed the methodology as set forth in claim 1 wherein said at least one electronic service is an electronic service directory (page 344, section 4.1, second paragraph, "custoMove").

Claims 13-22

The limitations of claims 13-22 are substantially the same as the limitations for claims 1-12 and as such are rejected in the same manner as claims 1-12. Electronic service business is disclosed as well on page 344, section 4, first paragraph.

Claim 24

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

• means for defining said specification (pages 343-347, sections 3-5).

Art Unit: 2124

Claim 25

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

 means for forming a repository of a plurality of electronic services of a type of said first electronic service (page 345-347, section 5; note repositories and abstracts).

Claim 26

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

means for providing a work flow representative of said first composite
electronic service such that said work flow is compatible with said electronic
services platform structure (page 344-347, sections 4 and 5).

Claim 27

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 26 further comprising:

means for providing an interaction gateway between said means for providing
a work flow and said electronic services platform (page 341, Abstract; page
344-347, sections 4 and 5; note for example specified configuration
parameters, templates and dynamic process evolution).

Claim 28

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

means for monitoring said first electronic service (page 341, Abstract).

Art Unit: 2124

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

 means for maintaining said first electronic service (page 341, Abstract; page 344, section 4, first two paragraphs).

Claim 30

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 29 further comprising:

 means for updating said first electronic service after registration with said electronic services platform (page 344-345, section 4).

Claim 31

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 29 further comprising:

 means for deleting said first electronic service from registration with said electronic services platform (page 345, left column, first full paragraph).

Claim 32

Casati disclosed the system as set forth in claim 23 further comprising:

means for structuring said first electronic service to be compatible with a
given said electronic services platform (page 341, Abstract and section 1;
page 344-347, sections 4 and 5).

Art Unit: 2124

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (703)305-3305. The examiner can normally be reached 7:30am - 5:00pm Monday thru Thursday and 7:30am - 4:00pm every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (703)305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood September 25, 2004

Vaner Cha

KAKALI CHAMI SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100